



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/779,834 02/09/2001 195128US-20 Robert Pearce 7000 22850 7590 06/29/2004 **EXAMINER** OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. HARTMAN JR, RONALD D 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2121

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/779,834	PEARCE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ronald D Hartman Jr.	2121
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
i apor itologiman bato	- J	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/779,834

Art Unit: 2121

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-69 are presented for examination.
- 2. A telephone call was made to Sarinder Sachar on June 23,2004 to request an oral election to the restriction requirement below, but did not result in an election being made.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, in order to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined, even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

## Election/Restrictions

- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-24, drawn towards an apparatus having a central controller, classified in class 700, subclass 9;



II. Claims 25-34, 42 and 45-54, drawn to a method of determining a propagation delay, classified in class 318, subclass 621.

- III. Claims 35-41, 43-44 and 55-61, drawn to a method of network messaging; classified in class 709, subclass 206; and
- IV. Claims 62-69, drawn to a node, classified in class 713, subclass 153.
- 6. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II, III are different inventions from invention IV. Inventions I, II and IV are different inventions from invention III.

Inventions I and II teach a product and process using the product and since the product may be used using a different process, e.g. the device initially sends out the first query message, there is separate classification in the art. See MPEP 806.05(d).

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-IV, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Best Available Copy

Art Unit: 2121

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-7001. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 11:30 am - 8:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald D Hartman Jr.

Examiner

Art Unit 2121

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

Best Available Copy